## Lessons Learned in Solar PV Procurement for Community Colleges

### Spring 2011 ACBO Conference

### May 16, 2011

Clyde Murley Solar Program Manager Community College League of California

> 510/528-8953 cmurley@ccleague.org





## ACBO - Spring 2011 Conference

### Going Solar: Lessons Learned

### Shasta College

Joe Wyse, Vice President of Administrative Services, 530-242-7529 jwyse@shastacollege.edu





ACBO - Going Solar: Lessons Learned

Topic for Today – 7 Lessons Learned →Case study of Shasta College + the League's experience with 11 other districts





## Solar Field Project



![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Lesson 1: Use an RFQ/RFP Process

- An RFQ/RFP process generates healthy price and quality competition. You can enjoy <u>huge</u> cost savings compared to working with a single solar vendor.
- The RFP should be written in "best value," not "lowest price," terms.
- You don't have to figure out the micro-engineering aspects for the RFP let the vendor respondents give you various "looks," which will play off their particular strengths and give you valuable ideas.
- Provide site electrical drawings, as-builts, geotech reports, roof conditions, utility usage data, and contract template.
- Focus on economic value, i.e., how much your expected electricity bills will be reduced by each proposed project.
- Track record is vitally important.
  - Corporate longevity
  - Experience with education institutions and DSA
  - Technology quality and reliability
  - Specific performance of previously installed systems
  - Contract terms and conditions
  - Customer references

Craft RFP and organize responses to facilitate "apples-to-apples" comparison.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Shasta College - A Case Study

### SHASTA COLLGE' S PROCESS – COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT

- Completed preliminary cost/benefit analysis of potential project, presented to board's capital outlay committee
- Issued an RFQ
- Issued an RFP to companies selected from RFQs received
- Applied a matrix to look at RFP data & selected company
  - Used Government Code Section 4217.10 *et seq.* to enter into an energy services contract with selected company

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Shasta College's Metric used to Analyze RFPs

	Winner	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3	Alt. 4	Alt. 5
Price	\$7,192,870	\$5,584,191	\$7,285,000	\$7,271,226	\$6,444,194	\$7,363,125
Estimated PG&E Incentive	\$3,622,060	\$2,621,469	\$3,309,732	\$3,232,547	\$2,366,344	\$3,045,897
Estimated cost after incentive	\$3,570,810	\$2,962,722	\$3,975,268	\$4,038,679	\$4,077,850	\$4,317,228
Estimated % of cost rebate covers	50.4%	46.9%	45.4%	44.5%	36.7%	41.4%
Estimated 10 year output (kWh)	22,697,034	16,426,996	20,739,884	20,256,217	14,828,297	19,086,605
Estimated 25 year output (kWh)	54,679,040	39,573,998	49,964,105	48,798,909	35,722,600	45,981,217
Estimated net price/ 10 year kWh	\$0.157	\$0.180	\$0.192	\$0.199	\$0.275	\$0.226
Estimated net price/ 25 year kWh	\$0.065	\$0.075	\$0.080	\$0.083	\$0.114	\$0.094
Est. 1 year savings on power (\$.13/kWh)	\$301,761	\$218,400	\$275,741	\$269,310	\$197,145	\$253,760
Cents/kWh- 10 years (pre- incentive)	\$0.317	\$0.340	\$0.351	\$0.361	\$0.430	\$0.386
Estimated % of annual power used	38.9%	28.2%	35.6%	34.7%	25.4%	32.7%

### Lesson 2: Proper Analysis of Electrical Rates

- Important to accurately model solar-derived utility savings by mapping hourly solar production expected from a solar system against actual utility rate schedules
- Consider opportunities to switch to solarfavorable tariffs.
- What to assume about future utility rate changes?
- Modeling needs to be realistic about possible solar outages and cloudy days, which will mostly negate "demand-based" savings.

#### Lesson 3: Determine Solar PV Cost Effectiveness

- Most solar PV will pay off in the long term, i.e., during the operating lifetime of the solar system, even in regions of the state that are not considered "sunny."
- Review but don't rely on solar vendors' analyses.
- Get a year's worth of hourly solar production estimates from your vendors.
- It's up to you (and your board) how long or short you need your payback period to be or what other economic justification you require.
- Obtain informed estimates of future electricity costs, surcharges, and Renewable Energy Credit values.
- Incorporate long-term maintenance and equipment replacement costs.
- Make sure your economic analyst is very well versed in utility rates and the wide range of tariffs that could potentially come into play.

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

 California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) <u>www.cpuc.ca.gov/static/energy/electric/rates+and+tariffs/att1chart1.pdf</u> (from websites visited in 2008 – pages may have changed)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Solar Field - 6% energy escalation (saves \$8.6 million after 25 years)

Shasta College - Solar Field Cost Comparison - 6% escalation factor

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Solar Field - .64% energy escalation (breaks even after 20 years - saves \$476,000 after 25 years)

Shasta College - Solar Field Cost Comparison - .64% escalation factor

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Solar Field ~ 3<sup>rd</sup> party independent analysis -1.5% energy escalation, other different assumptions (saves \$4,055,000 after 25 years)

Shasta College - Solar Field Cost Comparison - CCLC Independent Analysis

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field - 3<sup>rd</sup> party independent analysis -0% energy escalation (break-even at 20 years, saves \$350,000 after 25 years)

Shasta College - Solar Field Cost Comparison - CCLC Independent Analysis

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Solar – A Good Fit for Campuses

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Nearly all areas of California are favorable for Solar PV

### Lesson 4: Strong Performance Monitoring

- Monitor! In real time! This is your vendor's responsibility.
- Evaluate vendors' monitoring capabilities and track records.
- Visit the facilities of finalist vendors to observe their monitoring system for existing customers.
- Request performance data from past customers in your RFP.
- Tie real-time monitoring to prompt corrective response in your contract with the vendor.

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project - Performance

Pacific Gas and		Report Date 5/26		/2010 2:59 PM		
Profe Electric Company			Date analyzed 5/20/2010 Comparison date 5/6/2009		)/2010	
					99	
Customer			SHASTA COLLEGE	and a second second second second		
Baseline Load Pr	ofile					
1,000 800 ≩ 600 400 €€€	A		son son		and the	
1:00 AM	2:00 AM 3:00 AM 4:00 AM 5:00 AM	7:00 AM 8:00 AM 9:00 AM 10:00 AM	11:00 AM 12:00 PM 1:00 PM 2:00 PM 3:00 PM	4:00 PM 5:00 PM 6:00 PM 7:00 PM	9:00 PM 10:00 PM 11:00 PM 12:00 AM	
			Time			
			1010000			
	5/21	0/2010 - 5	/6/2009 🔷	<ul> <li>Like-Day Baseline</li> </ul>		
Data Summary					-	
REDDING 2491353005 11555 OLD DREGON TRL 1445R8 FY600-1	Average (kW)	Max Value (kW)	Max Timestamp	Min Value (kW)	Min Timestamp	
	255	916	08:45 PM	8	09:30 AM	
5/20/2010	356	the second s	the second data and the second			
5/20/2010 V6/2009	807	1,076	12:30 PM	421	02:15 AM	

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project - Performance

SunPower Monitor - System Perfomance

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

http://www.sunpowermonitor.com/Commercial/Default.aspx [5/11/2011 3:06:00 PM]

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Solar Field Project - Performance

SunPower Monitor - System Perfomance

![](_page_24_Figure_5.jpeg)

http://www.sunpowermonitor.com/Commercial/Default.aspx [5/11/2011 3:08:01 PM]

. \_ . . . . . . .

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Best Practices**

- Ensure adequate warranty coverage for inverters, modules, and module output
  - May differ from company to company
  - Extended coverage available
- Assess solar provider financial viability and experience
  - Long-term asset
  - Could impact financing costs
- Focus on cost per unit output (\$/kWh), not cost per unit capacity (\$/kW)

### **LESSON 5**:

### **Evaluate Performance Guarantee Options**

- Very often neglected in the procurement and contract negotiation processes.
- Not mandatory but generally a good idea.
- Lots of devils in the details to guard against don't rely on broad language from vendor.
- Guarantees should set the floor with few if any missing floorboards – for the economic value of the project as previously analyzed in the procurement process.
- Guarantees should be tied to both lost utility bill savings and lost solar incentive savings.
- Properly developed and negotiated, gives boards and trustees the security they're seeking when making a significant capital investment decision.

### LESSSON 6: Educate Your Board Along the Way

- Early and often.
- Schedule workshops.
- Focus on "two handed," not "one handed," benefit/cost/risk analysis.
  - One handed: considering only the risks and costs of solar and ignoring the risks and costs of NOT procuring solar
  - Two handed: Comparing the benefits, costs, and risks of your best solar option against the corresponding benefits, costs, and risks of the status quo, i.e. continuing to get all your electricity from the utility.

### LESSON 7: Be Sure to Obtain Needed Expertise

- Preliminary solar PV site evaluation
- Economics and rate analysis
- Financial analysis and identification of innovative financing options
- Designing and running RFP process
- Evaluating solar vendors and their proposals (great proposal doesn't necessarily mean a great solar vendor)
- Legal support
- Consulting with utility and CPUC on tariffs, rates, solar incentives

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Lessons Learned – Shasta College Summary

### Communication – internal & external

- Environmental concerns land use, impact on trees (NIMBY syndrome applies)
- Reach out to stakeholders early to address these concerns
- Know your Board
- Find your 'Internal Champions' early in the process
- Do not hesitate to use the League's help (external experts)
- Examine worst case/best case scenarios
- Can use sole source process in combination with RFP/RFQ process to get best price

A District's Ultimate Objective When Considering Solar PV: Creating the <u>HIGHEST VALUE</u> Solar System (which is rarely the <u>LOWEST COST</u> system)

... How do you do that? Use a rigorous, tightly integrated procurement and evaluation process

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)