Are we having FON yet? OR

A Primer on the Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number Calculation

Kuldeep Kaur, Specialist
Frederick E. Harris, Assistant Vice Chancellor
Chancellor's Office
ACBO Spring 2009 Conference
Red Lion Inn
Sacramento, CA

Goals for Presentation

- Full-Time Faculty Obligation Number (FON) <u>timelines</u>
- How to do FON calculation for your district
 - When the BOG determines <u>adequate</u> funds
 - When the BOG determines inadequate funds
- Consequences of not meeting your FON
 - Penalty
 - 2 types of deferrals
 - NO waivers!
- Show how much fun FON can be

What is the FON?

Pursuant to Education Code Section 87482.6 and CCR Title 5 Section 51025, the FON is the number of full-time faculty a district is required to employ each Fall as adjusted by the lower of the projected fundable growth at the time of the budget enactment (at Advance) OR the actual percentage change in funded credit FTES from the prior year (at P2).

FON Calculation Fundamentals

- Calculation is based upon <u>credit</u> funded FTES
 - From Apportionment Exhibit C or E
- Compliance FON = <u>lower</u> of Advance FON <u>or</u> P2 FON
- If the Apportionment has a <u>Deficit factor</u>
 - FON is adjusted at P2
 - Deficit factor not known until P1

Annual Timelines

- September: Advance FON provided for next Fall
- November: BOG determines adequacy of funding for current fiscal year; could effect next Fall's FON if funds are inadequate
- November 15: Districts submit current Fall numbers
- January: Penalties determined for past Fall FON
- June: P2 FON and Compliance FON provided for next Fall

FON Calculation Detail

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Fall 20	007 (FY 2006	6-07)	Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08)		'-08)	Fall 2009 (FY 2008-09)			Fall 2010 (FY2009-10)		
Advance	P-2	Recal	Advance	P-2	Recal	Advance	P-2	Recal	Advance		
Aug-06	Jun-07	Jan-08	Aug-07	Jun-08	Jan-09	Aug-08	Jun-09	Jan-10	Aug-09		
9,850	9,950	9,950	10,250	10,300	10,300	10,422	10,661	10,661	10,900		
10,110	10,250	10,300	10,350	10,422	10,661	10,800	10,900	11,000	11,100		
1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.9951	0.9956	1.00	0.9901	0.9901	1.00		
10,110	10,250	10,300	10,350	10,371	10,614	10,800	10,792	10,891	11,100		
260.00	300.00	350.00	100.00	70.93	314.09	378.00	131.09	230.10	200.00		
2.64%	3.02%	3.52%	0.98%	0.69%	3.05%	3.63%	1.23%	2.16%	1.83%		
145	147	147	> 151	> 152	> 152	→ 153	> 156	<i>→</i> 156	→ 156		
3.83	4.43	5.17	1.47	1.05	4.64	5.55	1.92	3.37	2.86	~~~~~~~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
3	4	5	1	1	4	5	1	3	2		
148	151	152	152	153	156	158	157	159	158		
148			15	2		152			158	<u> </u>	
Fall 2007			Fall 2	800		Fall 2	Fall 2009		Fall 2010		
					Since BOG declared 2008-09			Assuming BOG determine 2009-10 Budget			
				Budget Act inadequate, this			Act provides adequate funding. Base FON				
AAAAAAAAAAA			di			district's Fall 2009 obligation for F			Fall 2010 would be 2007-08 Recal FON.		
					remains	remains at 152 instead of 157.					
	Advance Aug-06 9,850 10,110 1.00 10,110 260.00 2.64% 145 3.83 3 148	Fall 2007 (FY 2006) Advance P-2 Aug-06 Jun-07 9,850 9,950 10,110 10,250 1.00 1.00 10,110 10,250 260.00 300.00 2.64% 3.02% 145 147 3.83 4.43 3 4 148 151	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Advance P-2 Recal Aug-06 Jun-07 Jan-08 9,850 9,950 9,950 10,110 10,250 10,300 1.00 1.00 1.00 10,110 10,250 10,300 260.00 300.00 350.00 2.64% 3.02% 3.52% 145 147 147 3.83 4.43 5.17 3 4 5 148 151 152	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2 Advance P-2 Recal Advance Aug-06 Jun-07 Jan-08 Aug-07 9,850 9,950 9,950 10,250 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 260.00 300.00 350.00 100.00 2.64% 3.02% 3.52% 0.98% 145 147 147 151 3.83 4.43 5.17 1.47 3 4 5 1 148 151 152 152	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007) Advance P-2 Recal Advance P-2 Aug-06 Jun-07 Jan-08 Aug-07 Jun-08 9,850 9,950 9,950 10,250 10,300 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 10,422 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.9951 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 10,371 260.00 300.00 350.00 100.00 70.93 2.64% 3.02% 3.52% 0.98% 0.69% 145 147 147 151 7152 3.83 4.43 5.17 1.47 1.05 3 4 5 1 1 148 151 152 152 153	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08) Advance P-2 Recal Advance P-2 Recal Aug-06 Jun-07 Jan-08 Aug-07 Jun-08 Jan-09 9,850 9,950 9,950 10,250 10,300 10,300 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 10,422 10,661 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.9951 0.9956 10,110 10,250 10,300 10,350 10,371 10,614 260.00 300.00 350.00 100.00 70.93 314.09 2.64% 3.02% 3.52% 0.98% 0.69% 3.05% 145 147 147 151 152 152 3.83 4.43 5.17 1.47 1.05 4.64 3 4 5 1 1 4 148 151 152 153 156 Hall 2008 Since BG	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08) Fall 2007 Advance P-2 Recal Advance Ad	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08) Fall 2009 (FY 2008) Advance P-2 Recal Advance P-2 Advance P-2 Recal Advance P-2 10,661 10,601 10,601 10,901 10,901 10,902	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08) Fall 2009 (FY 2008-09) Advance P-2 Recal Advance P-2 Recal Aug-06 Jun-07 Jan-08 Aug-07 Jun-08 Jan-09 Aug-08 Jun-09 Jan-10 9,850 9,950 10,250 10,300 10,300 10,422 10,661 10,661 10,661 10,661 10,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 11,000 10,000 11,000 10,000 10,990 11,000 10,990 11,000 10,990 10,990 11,000 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,990 10,891 10,890 10,990 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,890 10,990 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891 10,891	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07) Fall 2008 (FY 2007-08) Fall 2009 (FY 2008-09) Fall 2009 Advance Aug-06 P-2 Recal Advance P-2 </td <td> Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07)</td>	Fall 2007 (FY 2006-07)

Advance FON

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in Fall 2007)

- I. <u>Projected %</u> = [Adv (2007-08) FTES] [P2 (2006-07) FTES]<u>Change</u> [P2 (2006-07) FTES]
- II. <u>Base FON</u> = Previous years P2 FON
- III. <u>Change to Base FON</u> = (Rounded <u>down</u> to the nearest whole number)

 [Projected % Change] X [P2 (2006-07) FON]
- IV. Advance FON (for Fall 2008 FON) =

 [Base FON] + [Change to Base FON]

Advance FON

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in Fall 2007)

I. Projected % =
$$[10,350] - [10,250]$$
 = .0097561
Change [10,250]

Recal FON

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in January 2008)

- I. <u>Projected % = [Recal (2006-07) FTES X (Def. Factor)] [Recal (2005-06) FTES]</u>

 <u>Change</u>

 [Recal (2005-06) FTES]
- II. <u>Base FON</u> = Previous years <u>Recal</u> FON
- III. <u>Change to Base FON</u> =

 [Projected % Change] X [Recal (2005-06) FON]
- IV. <u>Recal FON (for Fall 2008 FON)</u> (Rounded <u>down</u> to the nearest whole number) =
 [Base FON] + [Change to Base FON]
- V. <u>Recal FON</u> is calculated <u>only</u> for use in the P2 FON; it is <u>not</u> a choice for Compliance FON

Recalfon

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in January 2008)

I. Projected % =
$$[10,300 \times 1] - [9,950]$$
 = .03518
Change [9,950]

II. Base FON =
$$147$$

IV. Recal FON (for Fall 2008 FON) =
$$147 + 5 = 152$$

P2 FON

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in Spring 2008)

- I. <u>Projected % = [P2 (2007-08) FTES X (Def. Factor)] [Recal (2006-07) FTES]</u>

 <u>Change</u>

 [Recal (2006-07) FTES]
- II. <u>Base FON</u> = Previous years <u>Recal</u> FON
- III. <u>Change to Base FON</u> = (Rounded <u>down</u> to the nearest whole number)

 [Projected % Change] X [Recal (2006-07) FON]
- IV. <u>P2 FON (for Fall 2008 FON)</u> =

 [Base FON] + [Change to Base FON]

P2 FON

(for Fall 2008 FON; calculated in Spring 2008)

I. Projected % =
$$[10,422 \times .9951] - [10,300] = .00689$$

Change $[10,300]$

II. Base FON = 152

Adequate vs. Inadequate Funds

- If the BOG determines <u>adequate</u> funds in November 2008 for 2008-09, then a normal "growth" FON calculation will occur for Fall 2009.
- However, since the BOG determined <u>inadequate</u> funds were provided in 2008-09, the FON increase will remain unchanged, and the Fall 2008 FON will <u>continue</u> as the Fall 2009 FON
 - UNLESS a drop in FTES "growth" produces a <u>lower</u> FON.
- The district has the option of choosing either the Fall 2008 FON or the percentage of FT/PT ratio reported on their Fall 2008 FTFO report.

Recal FON

(for Fall 2009 FON; calculated in January 2009)

- I. <u>Projected % = [Recal (2007-08) FTES X (Def. Factor)] [Recal (2006-07) FTES]</u>

 <u>Change</u>

 [Recal (2006-07) FTES]
- II. <u>Base FON</u> = Previous years <u>Recal</u> FON
- IV. <u>Recal FON (for Fall 2009 FON)</u> (Rounded <u>down</u> to the nearest whole number) =
 [Base FON] + [Change to Base FON]
- V. <u>Recal FON</u> is calculated only for use in the P2 FON; it is <u>not</u> a choice for Compliance FON

Recal FON

(for Fall 2009 FON; calculated in January 2009)

I. Projected % =
$$[10,661 \times .9956] - [10,300] = .03049$$

Change $[10,300]$

II. Base FON = 152

Advance FON

(for Fall 2010 FON; calculated in Fall 2009)

Assumption: BOG determines <u>adequate</u> funds for 2009-10

I. <u>Projected % = [Adv (2009-10) FTES] - [P2 (2008-09) FTES]</u>

<u>Change</u>

[P2 (2008-09) FTES]

II. Base FON = 2007-08 Recal FON

III. <u>Change to Base FON</u> = (Rounded down to the nearest whole number)

[Projected % Change] X [<u>Recal</u> (2007-08) FON]

IV. Advance FON (for Fall 2010 FON) =

[Base FON] + [Change to Base FON]

Advance FON

(for Fall 2010 FON; calculated in Fall 2009)

I. Projected % =
$$[11,100] - [10,900]$$
 = .01835
Change $[10,900]$

Consequences of not meeting FON

- Penalty = [# Faculty Short] X [Statewide Average Replacement Cost]
- Penalty can be deferred up to 1 year:
 - IF the BOG determines inadequate funding in current fiscal year
 - Deferral maintained until subsequent year BOG declares adequate funds
- OR, Penalty can be deferred up to 3 years, if a district is:
 - Meeting its FON in each of the 3 subsequent years, AND
 - Chancellor determines the district's financial integrity would be jeopardized by paying this penalty now
- Waiver of penalty is <u>not</u> allowed

 How come there are so many calculations of the obligation during a cycle?

There used to be just one calculation at P2. So, districts were notified in June what number they would have to meet by November. HR directors requested a revision that would provide a target number earlier in the process, particularly since many districts do much or all of their hiring during March and April. As a result of this request from the field, Title 5 was revised to provide for a calculation at Advance and the use of the lower of Advance or P2 as the enforceable number for November.

 If my obligation calculated at Advance is higher than the one calculated at P2, which one do I have to meet?

The lower of Advance <u>or</u> P2 would be the <u>Compliance</u> <u>FON obligation.</u>

• Are noncredit FTES counted in the obligation?

No. Only funded credit FTES are included in the calculation.

 Does the Chancellor's Office use the November 15 report of actual full-time and part-time faculty to update the obligation calculations?

No. The sole purpose of the November 15 report is to determine <u>compliance</u>. If a district misses its target number, the amount not achieved is multiplied by the statewide average replacement cost and the result is reduced from P1 apportionment . Also, if the November 15 report shows a district has reached 75% full-time faculty, no growth increment is added to its obligation, until a report is received showing below 75%.

• Can the Penalty be waived for FON noncompliance?

NO! There is no authority in CCR Title 5 section 51025(e) to waive the penalty for noncompliance.

• What happens to the penalties for FON noncompliance?

The law requires these funds be distributed statewide on a one-time basis to fund diversity in hiring in accordance with Education Code Sec 87107.

Questions/Comments

