

**California Community Colleges Growth Allocation Formula**  
**Explanation of the Formula Methodology**

Column C: 2013-14 Workload Increase: Includes districts funded growth, unfunded growth, and stability restoration for 2013-14

Column D: 2014-15 Workload Increase: Includes districts funded growth, unfunded growth, and stability restoration for 2014-15

Column E: 2015-16 Restoration Availability: The additional amount of stability restoration the district is eligible for in 2015-16.

Column G: Adults w/o College Attainment: Districts percentage (as a portion of the statewide total) of individuals 25 years of age or older who do not have a bachelor's degree: percentage of adults with "some college" or less living within district boundaries. (Source: ESRI)

Column H: Unemployed Adults: Districts percentage (as a portion of the state total) of unemployed individuals 16 years of age or older: percentage of unemployed adults living within district boundaries. (Source: ESRI)

Column I: Households Below the Poverty Line: Districts percentage (as a portion of the state total) of households below the poverty line ( $\approx$ \$25,000 annual income). (Source: ESRI)

Column J: Need for Access: Identifies the portion of the state that each district should be serving based on their need factors. Calculated as a weighted average of columns G, H and I, weights educational attainment at 50%, unemployment at 25% and poverty at 25%.

Column K: Current Access: District's percent of state's total workload revenue. Percentage of students in the state that the district is actually serving.

Column L: Difference: Difference between the district's need for access (column J) and the percentage of the state the district is currently serving (column K).

Column M: Only positive need: This column identifies districts that have a greater need for access (based on the need factors) than what they are currently serving (positive number in column L).

Column O: 49.9% on Access: 49.9% of the growth funding would be distributed proportionately based on districts current FTES, all districts would receive the same growth rate.

Column P: 50.1% Unmet Need: 50.1% of the growth funding would be distributed to those districts that have a greater need for access than the percentage they are currently serving (see Column M).

Column Q: Targeted Growth: The sum of Column O and Column P, which would be the total growth funding a district would be eligible for without any constraints and without any reality check of current or prior year growth.

Column R: Targeted Growth Rate: The year-over-year rate of growth calculated in Column Q.

Column T: Weighted Growth Rate: Weighted average of the growth rates in Column Q, Column D, and Column C, which also subtracts the amount of stability restoration entitled to the district in the upcoming fiscal year. Weights are 50% for Column Q, 25% for Column D, and 25% for Column C.

Column U: Minimum 1%: Calculates the growth funding that each district would need to receive to be at the 1% minimum.

Column V: Unconstrained Additional: The unconstrained additional amount above the 1% minimum each district has been allocated. This is the amount that each district would be eligible for above the 1% minimum if there was unlimited growth funding.

Column W: Constrained Additional: Column V constrained to the additional amount of growth funding as provided in the Budget Act. This is the amount that each district would be eligible for above the 1% minimum constrained based on the amount of growth funding provided in the budget act.

Column X: Constrained Targeted Growth: The sum of districts 1% minimum (column U) and the constrained additional growth funding (column W) they would be eligible for. This is the growth cap for each district.

Column Y: Constrained Targeted Growth Rate: The year-over-year rate of growth calculated in Column X.

Column Z: 2015-16 Restoration Availability: The additional amount of stability restoration the district is eligible for in 2015-16 expressed as a rate.