

# WORKGROUP ON COMMUNITY COLLEGE FINANCE

ACBO CONFERENCE

OCTOBER 2011

# PRESENTATION

---

- Introduction/Process
- Recommendations
  - ▣ Seven recommendations
- Feedback
- Next Steps

# Introduction / Process

- Workgroup on Community College Finance
  - ▣ League responded to CEO/CCCT boards
  - ▣ Technical in nature
- Membership
  - ▣ Single college district / multi college district
  - ▣ Small / Large Rural / Suburban
- Technical Assistance

# Introduction / Process

## □ Context

- 2011-12 Proposed Budget Scenarios A, B, C
- Accelerated Budget timeline
- Scuttled Election / Proposition 25

## □ Timeline

- Meetings: May, August, September

# Introduction / Process

- Draft Recommendations –
  - Solicit feedback from workgroup members
  - Solicit feedback from ACBO attendees
  - Workgroup members – final review of report
  - Final report to League boards Nov/Dec and to ACBO board Dec

# Introduction / Process

- Action on Recommendations
  - ▣ Implemented (included in budget workshop binder)
  - ▣ To be Implemented (does not need statutory/regulatory changes)
  - ▣ To be Implemented (requires statutory/regulatory changes)
  - ▣ Issue Requiring Further Work

# Recommendations

---

- Topic: Workload Reduction Methodology
- Exclude the basic allocation (foundation grant) for each district prior to determining each districts respective workload reduction

# Recommendations

- Topic: Small district exemption to the 2011-12 workload reduction
- The workgroup recommended that small districts (roughly less than 3,000 FTES) may voluntarily opt out of the 2011-12 workload reduction and would NOT be eligible to receive any “growth/restoration” funds meant to restore the 2011-12 workload reduction.



# Recommendations

- Topic: Basic Allocation FTES Threshold Amounts
- The workgroup recommended the Basic Allocation FTES threshold amount be reduced commensurate with the proposed 2011-12 workload reduction percentage.

# Recommendations

- Topic: Restoration / Growth Post 2011-12
- The workgroup recommended two separate methods to allocate future “growth/restoration” the state appropriates to the community colleges. One method is crafted to address the growth/restoration remaining from the 2009-10 workload reduction; and the second method is crafted to address the 2011-12 workload reduction.

# Recommendations

- Topic: Decline: FTES and Basic Allocation
- The workgroup recommended the allowable period for restoration be consistent for BOTH the FTES decline and Basic Allocation decline.

# Recommendations

- Topic: Combine Schedule 1) Apportionments and Schedule 3) Growth
- The workgroup recommends Schedule (1) Apportionments and Schedule (3) Growth for Apportionments be merged similar to how the community college item was displayed in the state budget act prior to 1996-97.

# Recommendations

---

- Topic: Future Growth Rates for Districts
- The workgroup recommends continued work to arrive at individual growth rates.

# Feedback / Questions

